

Message

From: Linder, Steven [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=A50837ECD4B24423ABDFA574F6538A58-SLINDER]
Sent: 4/29/2021 7:49:54 PM
To: Ichinotsubo, Lene K [lene.ichinotsubo@doh.hawaii.gov]
CC: Myers, Hugh [hugh.myers@doh.hawaii.gov]; roxanne.kwan@doh.hawaii.gov; Grange, Gabrielle Fenix [gabrielle.grange@doh.hawaii.gov]; Moutoux, Nicole [Moutoux.Nicole@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Draft Response to Red Hill Section 5.4 Execution Plan
Attachments: 20210421_5.4 Execution Plan Review Comments SCL.docx

Lene,

Thanks for your comments on this letter. I review and included some additional suggested changes. Please review my suggestions and let me know when you are ready to discuss.

Thank you,

Steve

Steven Linder, P.E.
Special Projects Coordinator (LND-4)
US Environmental Protection Agency Region 9
San Francisco, California

Linder.Steven@epa.gov
415-972-3369 – office
650-592-2000 - mobile

From: Ichinotsubo, Lene K <lene.ichinotsubo@doh.hawaii.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 23, 2021 1:40 PM
To: Linder, Steven <Linder.Steven@epa.gov>
Cc: Myers, Hugh <hugh.myers@doh.hawaii.gov>; roxanne.kwan@doh.hawaii.gov; Grange, Gabrielle Fenix <gabrielle.grange@doh.hawaii.gov>
Subject: FW: Draft Response to Red Hill Section 5.4 Execution Plan

Hi Steve,

The added revisions to the previous draft are based on our meeting, where we discussed the three areas that required clarification. It was not intended to include new positions. The Navy's revised timeline or meeting have not changed the theme of the letter. I only reference the new timeline in one area of the letter.

Let me when you want to discuss.

lene

From: Ichinotsubo, Lene K
Sent: Saturday, February 27, 2021 1:42 PM
To: Linder, Steven <Linder.Steven@epa.gov>

Cc: Myers, Hugh <Hugh.Myers@doh.hawaii.gov>; Kwan, Roxanne S <roxanne.kwan@doh.hawaii.gov>

Subject: RE: Draft Response to Red Hill Section 5.4 Execution Plan

Hi Steve,

From your draft, I think you have more comments that we do. My edits are more editorial in nature, but I do have some questions regarding the requests/comments. If you have time to discuss sometime after our 11:00 HST check in on 6/7, that would be great.

My main concern, which is not listed in this document is whether the SOW in the appendices are sufficient to make any assessment of whether they will meet objectives. When we spoke with Phil on December 10, he clearly agreed that we did not have sufficient detail in the draft plan. Does he still have the same position after seeing the contract SOWs in the Appendices? If yes, maybe we should include that as a comment, and perhaps give an example of what he needs to assess.

As for the questions in your email, we can discuss. Hugh has a bunch of notes associated with that.

Thanks,
lene

From: Linder, Steven <Linder.Steven@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2021 6:24 AM

To: Ichinotsubo, Lene K <lene.ichinotsubo@doh.hawaii.gov>; Kwan, Roxanne S <roxanne.kwan@doh.hawaii.gov>; Myers, Hugh <hugh.myers@doh.hawaii.gov>

Cc: TU, LYNDSEY <Tu.Lyndsey@epa.gov>; Moutoux, Nicole <Moutoux.Nicole@epa.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Draft Response to Red Hill Section 5.4 Execution Plan

Please find attached my suggested response to the 5.4 Execution Plan.

Please take note that the language in the AOC SOW does not clearly define deliverable expectations for this section.

Additionally, we need to discuss what our expectations are for the process of developing performance objectives of TIRM for protection of Human Health and the Environment as stated in our letter back in July.

Additionally, what are our expectations for BAPT for these improvements?

Please review my draft and let me know when you have time to discuss.

Thank you,

Steve

Steven Linder, P.E.
Red Hill and NERT Project Coordinator (LND-4)
US Environmental Protection Agency Region 9
San Francisco, California

Linder.Steven@epa.gov
415-972-3369 – office
650-592-2000 - mobile